

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/29/2003	Takehira Sengoku	19A 3480 6492			
03/15/2005		EXAMINER			
Foot	- Prince and the Control of the Cont	COCKS, JOSIAH C			
East		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
90067-2912		3749			
		DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005			
	03/15/2005 East	03/15/2005	03/15/2005 EXAM COCKS, JO Bast 90067-2912 3749		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application I	Vo.	Applicant(s)		
		10/696,283		SENGOKU, TAKEHIRA		0
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
	•	Josiah Cocks		3749		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a				dress	
Period fe		•		•		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reduce to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, he statutory divill apply and will exute the cause the application.	nowever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	January 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	,			secution as to the	merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
_	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application	ation				
7/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		deration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
. '	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requ	irement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		•		
• —	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 December 2004</u> is		pted or b) object	ed to by the Exam	iner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required i	f the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d)).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	., .,		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been re	eceived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been re	eceived in Applicati	on No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents	s have been receive	ed in this National	Stage	
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified	l copies not receive	ed.		
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	.8) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P)-152)	
,	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/14/2004</u> .	•,	Other:	,,	•,	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 1/11/2005 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 12/14/2005. These drawings are approved and accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has amended claim to recite that the semi-cylinders respectively comprise a plurality of vertical rods and semi-circular horizontal rods which are, at upper and lower ends portions thereof, welded and integrated. However, the specification as originally filed does not recite that the vertical rods and horizontal rods are "welded and integrated." The examiner notes that the specification does state that connecting and fixing tools are welded to upper end portions

of the vertical rods (see specification, p. 2 and p. 5) but not the limitations now appearing in claim 1.

Applicant must remove the material added in the prior amendment from the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,611,574 to Nakamura et al. ("Nakamura").

Nakamura discloses in Figures 1-8 the invention substantially described in applicant's claims 1 and 2 including a circular heat guard that includes an upper surface guard (18) and a cylindrical main body guard (20) that is considered to be made up of a pair of left and right semi-cylinders. Fixing and connecting tools (30) include the mounting, support, and locking portions with horizontal portions formed by bending elongated portion structures that integrate the upper guard and main body guard as recited in the claims (see col. 5, line 47 through col. 6, line 22 and Figs. 2-4 and 6-8). The locking portions are considered to form the locking spaces and locking clearances as recited.

In regard to claim 1, it has been held that to support a conclusion that a claim is directed to obvious subject matter, prior art references must suggest expressly or impliedly the claimed invention or an Examiner must present a "convincing line of reasoning" as to why one of

Art Unit: 3749

ordinary skill in the art would have found the claimed invention to have been obvious. *Ex parte Clapp*, 227 USPQ 972, 973 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1985). In doing so, the Examiner may rely on "logic or scientific principle." *In re Soli*, 317 F.2d 941, 947, 137 USPQ 797, 801 (CCPA 1963). See also MPEP § 2144.02. In the present case, Nakamura discloses that the vertical bars of the main body guard are integrated with top and bottom horizontal bars (see Fig. 1) but does not expressly disclose that these bars are welded. However, at the time the invention was made, it would have been merely an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nakamura to include welding the bars because Applicant has not disclosed that these limitations provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the joining means shown in Nakamura because these means serve to join the vertical rods to a top and bottom horizontal rod. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Nakamura to obtain the invention specified in claims 1 and 2.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/696,283

Art Unit: 3749

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 5

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is

(571) 272-4874. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00 AM to 5:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ira Lazarus, can be reached at (571) 272-4877. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Any questions on access to the Private

PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197

(toll-free).

jcc

March 10, 2005

JOSIAH COCKS

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3749